LSAT Mock Exam - Answer Key and Detailed Explanations for Reading Comprehension

Reviewing these explanations will help reinforce the logical principles and pacing strategies required for the LSAT.

SECTION II: READING COMPREHENSION (Q1-Q5)

Question	Correct Answer	Question Type
1	В	Main Idea
2	A	Inference
3	С	Function
4	С	Author's Stance
5	D	Specific Detail

Question 1 (Main Idea)

- Analysis: The passage begins by introducing the proponents of original intent (a fixed, stable methodology) and dedicates the majority of its length to presenting and elaborating on the critiques of this doctrine. The final, most compelling critique centers on the political misuse of original intent.
- Correct Answer: (B) This option captures the primary structure: introducing the
 doctrine, then detailing why it is flawed, impractical, and ultimately used to mask
 political agendas.

Question 2 (Inference)

- Analysis: Proponents of original intent rely on the principle of judicial restraint, believing that the judiciary must be limited to applying the law's meaning at the time of its creation.
- Correct Answer: (C) If a judge's interpretation significantly alters the practical effect from the initial understanding, it would violate the core principle of fixed meaning and judicial restraint that proponents value, making them likely to oppose it.

Question 3 (Function)

- Analysis: The first two paragraphs focus on theoretical problems: difficulty establishing
 unified intent and the irrelevance of freezing meaning. The third paragraph introduces
 the "more compelling critique" of the performative aspect—the idea that judges
 selectively use historical evidence to support their political preferences, essentially
 committing judicial activism.
- Correct Answer: (C) The paragraph marks a clear rhetorical shift from abstract flaws to a focused claim of ideological misuse (disguising activism).

Question 4 (Author's Stance)

- Analysis: The author presents the theoretical and practical critiques of original intent, but labels the selective application critique as "more compelling." The passage concludes that the most honest approach is the one that the critics advocate (transparency about updating abstract legal concepts), suggesting the author aligns with the critics' fundamental goal.
- Correct Answer: (C) The author is strongly supportive, advocating for the critics' call to abandon pretense and engage in a candid discussion (a more honest and functional path).

Question 5 (Specific Detail)

- Analysis: The first paragraph states: "The appeal of this approach lies in its promise of judicial restraint, supposedly preventing unelected judges from imposing their own political preferences under the guise of interpretation."
- Correct Answer: (C) This directly quotes the passage's explanation for the doctrine's popularity among its adherents.

SECTION II: READING COMPREHENSION (Q6-Q10)

Question	Correct Answer	Question Type
6	С	Main Idea
7	С	Specific Detail
8	Е	Inference
9	В	Function
10	С	Author's Attitude

Question 6 (Main Idea)

- Analysis: The passage dedicates its entire length to introducing the top-down model
 (trophic cascade) and then elaborating on the Yellowstone wolf reintroduction as the
 primary example. The text is descriptive and illustrative, detailing the complex and
 far-reaching impacts of the wolves.
- Correct Answer: (C) The passage's goal is to use the case of Yellowstone's wolf reintroduction to illustrate the comprehensive, complex, system-wide effects of a trophic cascade.

Question 7 (Specific Detail)

- Analysis: The third paragraph addresses this directly, stating the wolves achieved the
 effect in two ways: direct reduction of elk and the "behavioral cascade." The passage
 then emphasizes the latter: "The fear of predation caused the elk to avoid exposed
 areas like valleys and riverbanks."
- Correct Answer: (C) The primary cause of the tree recovery was the change in the elk's grazing behavior and choice of location due to the threat of the wolves.

Question 8 (Inference)

- Analysis: The final paragraph notes that the wolves changed the elk's behavior, which in turn caused the regenerating forests to stabilize the riverbanks, leading to the deepening of river channels and improved water quality. The stabilization and channel changes are non-biological, physical characteristics of the river environment.
- Correct Answer: (C) This inference is supported by the example of the wolves (biological) influencing elk behavior, which then determined the **physical geography** (non-biological) of the river.

Question 9 (Function)

- Analysis: The author mentions beavers disappeared because the willows (their food/material) were overgrazed, and then they returned when the willows recovered. This recovery was an *indirect* effect of the wolves changing the elk's *behavior*. The beavers serve to demonstrate the chain of environmental effects beyond the elk population itself.
- Correct Answer: (B) The beavers are a clear, specific example of a subsequent, indirect effect resulting from the change in elk behavior triggered by the wolves.

Question 10 (Author's Attitude)

- Analysis: The author states the top-down model has gained "significant traction" and
 uses positive, strong language to describe the results of the cascade: "dramatic
 reversal," "stunning series of changes," and "profoundly complex." The author clearly
 views the model as a highly effective way to understand ecology.
- Correct Answer: (C) The author is strongly supportive, viewing the trophic cascade as a powerful model for demonstrating the deep interconnectedness of an ecosystem.

I hope this detailed breakdown is helpful for your studies! Let me know if you would like to go

over the answers and explanations for the first mock exam, LSAT Mock Exam (Sample), next