## LSAT Reading Comprehension Mock Exam - Answer Key and Explanations

This document provides the correct answers and a detailed breakdown of the reasoning for each question in the practice exam.

## **PASSAGE I (Legal History)**

Q	Correct Answer	Explanation
1	С	Main Idea. The passage introduces the critique of <i>Plessy</i> (segregation) but states its focus is the "less-discussed, but equally profound, effect" on the Privileges or Immunities Clause. The main point is that this erosion forced civil rights activists onto the Equal Protection Clause, which is described as a "more malleable" and "much higher bar"—meaning, a less effective legal route.
2	A	<b>Function.</b> The passage states the <i>Plessy</i> majority used a strained reading of the Privileges or Immunities Clause, which was " <b>reinforced by the Slaughter-House Cases</b> ." This means the <i>Slaughter-House Cases</i> provided the <b>precedent or legal context</b> the <i>Plessy</i> majority utilized to justify limiting the clause.
3	В	Inference. The passage defines the Privileges or Immunities Clause as a "powerful federal guarantee of fundamental citizenship rights" and notes that its strength lay in "challenging the state's power to separate citizens at all." This suggests a successful challenge would argue that state-mandated separation is an inherent violation of fundamental federal citizenship rights.
4	С	Analogy. The legal shift described is from a potent, decisive tool (Privileges or Immunities) that could have immediately challenged the state's right to segregate, to a difficult, protracted process (Equal Protection) that required years of proving facilities were unequal. This is analogous to a military shift from a quick, decisive frontal assault to a protracted siege campaign requiring months of effort.
5	С	<b>Author's Tone.</b> The author uses strong, critical language throughout the passage to describe the <i>Plessy</i> majority's action: " <b>consequential</b>

erosion," "dangerous sleight-of-hand," and "effectively gutting"
the clause's potential. This indicates <b>profound disapproval</b> of the
decision's legal tactics and consequences.

## PASSAGE II (Art History / Literary Criticism)

Q	Correct Answer	Explanation
6	В	Main Idea. The author introduces the "critical commonplace" (that modernism was a radical break) only to reject it, stating that modernism was "not a radical secession but rather an intensification and sublimation" of Victorian themes. The conclusion restates this: the "break" is a change in technique—a refinement of form—to address the same enduring philosophical anxieties.
7	В	Definition/Analogy. The author explains that modernists took the Victorian concern with vast, historical time and compressed and internalized it into an intense, subjective time. This matches adapting a long, epic poem (vast scope) into a short, intense one-act play (compressed, intense focus) on a single element.
8	С	Inference. The second paragraph explicitly states that Eliot "grappled with the vastness of geological time" and its impact, but used "large, comprehensive timelines." This shows a clear thematic interest in time, but a formal difference (historical vs. subjective) from the modernists.
9	В	Author's Stance. The author states the common notion is "useful for establishing boundaries" (acknowledgment of utility) but then argues that it "risks obscuring a crucial strain of continuity" (persuasive qualification). The author qualifies the existing view rather than dismissing it entirely.
10	С	Specific Detail. The third paragraph is key: Victorian novels had interior monologues, but they were "contained within an omniscient structure." Modernists "stripped away the mediating voice of the narrator, allowing the reader direct, unmediated access to that interior world." This unmediated access is the primary difference in technique.

## PASSAGE III (Acoustic Science / Marine Biology)

Q	Correct Answer	Explanation
11	D	Main Idea. The passage discusses the long-known threat of low-frequency noise (whales) and the newer, equally critical threat of high-frequency noise (coastal life). The final paragraph explicitly concludes that any policy "must address both ends of the acoustic spectrum" to mitigate the threat to "all levels of the marine food web."
12	A	Function. The first paragraph describes the problem of low-frequency noise affecting whales, noting this has led to a "consensus" and efforts to consider noise reduction. This establishes the existing, conventional understanding of noise pollution before the author introduces the new, contrasting problem (high-frequency smog) in the subsequent paragraphs.
13	С	Inference. The passage states that larval and juvenile fish use ambient sound to locate habitats, and the high-frequency smog creates a "masking effect" that prevents them from successfully navigating. This implies the noise is blocking the fish from perceiving the environmental sounds needed for navigation.
14	С	Specific Detail. The second paragraph states that large ships move in "predictable shipping lanes," but small vessels are "diffuse and ubiquitous, creating a blanket of 'acoustic smog' across entire shallow-water habitats." This means the high-frequency noise is more widely dispersed (ubiquitous) across coastal areas.
15	В	Analogy. The term "acoustic smog" is defined as a noise that is diffuse, ubiquitous, and covers entire shallow-water habitats. (B) describes a persistent, low-level atmospheric haze that obscures a clear view across a large region, perfectly capturing the idea of widespread, persistent, non-localized interference.